Macro - 7020: TA Session 1

Paul Bousquet

University of Virginia

August 2023

Taylor Expansion

Taylor's theorem tells us the following

$$f(x_t) = f(x) + f'(x)(x_t - x) + \frac{f^{(2)}(x)}{2!}(x_t - x)^2 + \frac{f^{(3)}(x)}{3!}(x_t - x)^3 + \dots$$

where the expansion is considered "at x"

- usually we expand around the steady state (x*) in the context of Macro
- ▶ For smooth functions, the magnitude of the terms dissipates quickly with *n*
- > So the bumbling idiots in economics usually feel they can simply write

$$f(x_t) = f(x) + f'(x)(x_t - x) \text{ and } f(x_t, y_t) = f(x, y) + f_x(x, y)(x_t - x) + f_y(x, y)(y_t - y)$$

where equality is imposed but it's really an approximation.

Log(-)Linearization

- ▶ The usual definition for a (log) linearized variable is $\hat{x}_t = \frac{x_t x}{x}$
 - Think about this as relative deviation from the steady state.
 - ► Value: non-relative numbers are arbitrary. Also, cycles and shocks.
- First order Taylor expansion about $\hat{x}_t = 0$

$$\ln(1+\widehat{x}_t) \approx \ln(1) + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\widehat{x}_t}\ln(1+\widehat{x}_t)\Big|_{\widehat{x}_t=0}\right)(\widehat{x}_t-0) = \left(\frac{1}{1+\widehat{x}_t}\Big|_{\widehat{x}_t=0}\right)\widehat{x}_t = \widehat{x}_t$$

"valid" since we consider \hat{x}_t to be small in magnitude

So now consider the following property, which is extremely useful

$$\widehat{x}_t \approx \ln(1+\widehat{x}_t) = \ln\left(1+\frac{x_t-x}{x}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{x_t}{x}\right) = \ln(x_t) - \ln(x)$$

Still Log-Linearizing

▶ Now we have $\hat{x}_t \approx \ln(x_t) - \ln(x)$. So for instance

 $y_t = x_t z_t \implies \widehat{y}_t \approx \ln(y_t) - \ln(y) = \left(\ln(x_t) + \ln(z_t)\right) - \left(\ln(x) - \ln(z)\right) \approx \widehat{x}_t + \widehat{z}_t$

This gives us the first in several rules

 $\begin{array}{lll} \flat & y_t = x_t z_t \implies \widehat{y_t} = \widehat{x_t} + \widehat{z_t} & \text{product rule} \\ \flat & y_t = x_t^{\alpha} \implies \widehat{y_t} = \alpha \widehat{x_t} & \text{power rule} \\ \flat & y_t = f(x_t) \implies \widehat{y_t} = \left[\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}x\right]\widehat{x_t} & \text{function rule} \\ \flat & y_t = x_t + z_t \implies y \widehat{y_t} = x \widehat{x_t} + z \widehat{z_t} & \text{sum rule} \end{array}$

These are: incredibly useful, all you need, and (mostly) transparent

Implicit, (mathematically) trivial, but important rule: linearized constant = 0

The Brutal Truth about LL..

Taylor series expansions in econ are *not* about mathematical precision

- Jensen's inequality? Never heard of her
- Write equality signs. Just do it.
 - The last slide I went from \approx to = when writing "rules". I'm never going back
 - ▶ For this year, don't worry. But for future: "does this matter?" is good for research
- ► To add onto the ingrained grainyness..
 - "black box": people say log-linearize and magically a solution appears
 - Because there's lots of messy math behind the scenes, can be hard to implement
- I have been taught a ton of different ways to do this over the years. Here are in my opinion the best two: rule based and brute force

Method 1: Rule Based (or The Method of **Big Hat**)

Intuitive and step by step: just apply the rules over and over

$$y_t = x_t z_t \implies \hat{y}_t = \hat{x}_t + \hat{z}_t$$

$$y_t = x_t^{\alpha} \implies \hat{y}_t = \alpha \hat{x}_t$$

$$y_t = f(x_t) \implies \hat{y}_t = \left[\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}x\right] \hat{x}_t$$

$$y_t = x_t + z_t \implies y \hat{y}_t = x \hat{x}_t + z \hat{z}_t$$

• Example: Consider $k_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)k_t + sA_t k_t^{\alpha}$. This means

$$\widehat{k}_{t+1} = \frac{(1-\delta)k}{k} (\widehat{1-\delta})k_t + \frac{sAk^{\alpha}}{k} \widehat{sA_t k_t^{\alpha}}$$
 Rule 4
= $(1-\delta)[\widehat{(1-\delta)} + \widehat{k}_t] + sAk^{\alpha-1}(\widehat{s} + \widehat{A}_t + \widehat{k}_t^{\alpha})$ Rule 1
= $(1-\delta)\widehat{k}_t + sAk^{\alpha-1}(\widehat{A}_t + \alpha \widehat{k}_t)$ Rule 2

key is treating entire term as one linearized variable and then "shrinking the hat"

Method 2: Brute Force

Just compute (some intuition from Taylor but gets fuzzy when thinking about constants)

 $0 = f(x_t, y_t, z_t) \Longrightarrow 0 = f_x(x, y, z) x \widehat{x}_t + f_y(x, y, z) y \widehat{y}_t + f_z(x, y, z) z \widehat{z}_t$

Breaking this down: set everything equal to 0. Call this expression f(...)

- Say you have k variables $\{x_{i,t}\}_{i=1}^k$
- Log-linearizing is

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{x_i}(\mathsf{ss}) \cdot x_i \widehat{x}_{i,t}$$

Each term: partial derivative of f w.r.t x_i evaluated at the steady states (x_1, \ldots, x_k) multiplied by $x_i \cdot \hat{x}_{i,t}$ (steady state x_i times linearized $x_{i,t}$)

• Returning to our $k_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)k_t + sA_tk_t^{\alpha}$ example (so $0 = -k_{t+1} + (1 - \delta)k_t + sA_tk_t^{\alpha}$)

$$0 = -1 \times k\hat{k}_{t+1} + (1 - \delta + \alpha sAk^{\alpha - 1}) \times k\hat{k}_t + sk^{\alpha} \times A\hat{A}_t$$
$$\implies \hat{k}_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)\hat{k}_t + sAk^{\alpha - 1}(\hat{A}_t + \alpha \hat{k}_t)$$

Which method do I use?

- Try on your own and see what your brain likes best
- For most people, brute force will be best (cleaner)
- But always remember the rules! Brute force can be cumbersome in simple cases
- Say you want to linearize δx_t
 - Rules-based immediately gives you \hat{x}_t (just use product rule)
 - ▶ Remember: "hat" treats all objects equally. Can't think about constants until it's isolated
 - Brute force only really makes sense with an equation. So you have to redefine $y_t = \delta x_t$

$$\implies 0 = -y\widehat{y}_t + \delta x\widehat{x}_t$$

and then you have to realize/recognize the steady state of y_t is δx

"Realizing" is often an essential simplifying step and source of struggle with LL

Practice!

For reference, recall:
$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{x_i}(ss) \cdot x_i \hat{x}_{i,t}$$

$$y_t = x_t z_t \implies \hat{y}_t = \hat{x}_t + \hat{z}_t$$

$$y_t = x_t^{\alpha} \implies \hat{y}_t = \alpha \hat{x}_t$$

$$y_t = f(x_t) \implies \hat{y}_t = \left[\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}x\right] \hat{x}_t$$

$$y_t = x_t + z_t \implies y \hat{y}_t = x \hat{x}_t + z \hat{z}_t$$

1.
$$y_t = -x_t$$

- $2. \ y_t = (x_t + \beta z_t)^{\alpha}$
- **3.** $c_t + k_{t+1} (1 \delta)k_t = A_t k_t^{\alpha} \ell_t^{1 \alpha}$
- 4. How does #3 simplify if you know steady states $c = \gamma k$ and $A, \ell = 1$

5.
$$c_{t+1} = \beta \left[c_t \left(\alpha A_t k_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} + 1 - \delta \right) \right]$$

Proof of Rule 3 Back to Rules

A simple way to see this is

$$\widehat{y_t} \approx \ln(y_t) - \ln(y) = \ln(f(x_t)) - \ln(f(x)) \approx \left[\ln(f(x)) + \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}(x_t - x)\right] - \ln(f(x))$$
$$= \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}(x_t - x) = \frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)}\widehat{x_t}$$

- We can also consider $f(x_t) = \frac{g(x_t)}{h(x_t)} \implies \ln(f(x_t)) = \ln(g(x_t)) \ln(h(x_t))$. So $\ln(f(x_t)) = \ln(f(x)) + \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}(x_t - x) \quad \ln(g(x_t)) = \ln(g(x)) + \frac{g'(x)}{g(x)}(x_t - x)$ $\ln(h(x_t)) = \ln(h(x)) + \frac{h'(x)}{h(x)}(x_t - x)$
- Combing these taylor expansions with $\ln(f(x_t)) = \ln(g(x_t)) \ln(h(x_t))$ yields

$$\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}(x_t - x) = \frac{g'(x)}{g(x)}(x_t - x) - \frac{h'(x)}{h(x)}(x_t - x) \implies \frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)}\widehat{x}_t = \frac{xg'(x)}{g(x)}\widehat{x}_t - \frac{xh'(x)}{h(x)}\widehat{x}_t$$